

FROM

(WED) AUG 4 2004 8:17/ST. 8:17/No. 6833031081 P 1

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
AUG - 4 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

OFFICIAL

First Named Applicant: Stoodley

Art Unit: 2124

Serial No.: 09/870,206

Examiner: Ingberg

Filed: May 29, 2001

CA920000079US1

For: **COMPILER GENERATION OF INSTRUCTION
SEQUENCES FOR UNRESOLVED STORAGE
REFERENCES**

August 4, 2004
750 B STREET, Suite 3120
San Diego, CA 92101


COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

Applicant does not necessarily acquiesce in the examiner's characterization of Claim 1 that has been given in the reasons for allowance. For example, the examiner has read a limitation (just in time operation) into Claim 1 that he admits is not expressly required by Claim 1. He then defines "the invention" in terms that may or may not be correct, and he ignores some claim limitations altogether despite the fact that the claims as whole are to be considered for patentability. However, Applicant does indeed agree with the examiner's ultimate expert opinion that the claims are patentable.

Respectfully submitted,



John L. Rogitz
Registration No. 33,549
Attorney of Record
750 B Street, Suite 3120
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619)

1176-G.RFA

BEST AVAILABLE COPY